Kensington Veterinary Hospital

3817 Adams Ave. San Diego, CA 92116 t619.584.8418 f619.584.0564 <u>www.kensingtonvet.com</u>

California State Assembly State Capitol P.O. Box 942849 Sacramento, CA 94249-0000

May 21, 2007

To the attention of Speaker Nunez, Majority Whip Ma, Chief Republican Whips Benoit, Lamalfa, Spitzer, and esteemed Assemblymembers:

Cc (fax): Rep.Whips Adams, Fuller, DeVore, Gaines; Maj. Leader Bass, Speaker Pro Tempe Lieber, Ass't Speaker Pro Tempe Richardson, Ass't Maj. Leader DeLeon, Dem. Caucus Chair Evans, Min. Floor Leader Villines

RE: AB1634 IN OPPOSITION

We respectfully submit for your thoughtful evaluation the following letter, faxed previously to the Governor and members of the Appropriations Committee. Our concerns regarding this bill continue to grow, for the reasons described below. We request your thoughtful and careful consideration, and your opposition to this bill. Please vote against it.

Patricia J. Ungar DVM, CVA
Brenda Phillips DVM, ACVIM (Oncology)
Sharon Vanderlip DVM
Jean Spengel DVM

Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger State Capitol Building Sacramento, CA 95814 Phone: 916-445-2841

Fax: 916-445-4633

May 10, 2007

To the attention of The Honorable Governor Arnold Schwarzenegger,

Cc (fax): Assemblypeople Lloyd Levine, Appropriations Committee Chair Mark Leno, Appropriations Committee Vice-Chair Mimi Walters, Appropriations Committee Members, Senator Christine Kehoe, Assemblywoman Shirley Horton,

California Veterinary Medical Association President Dr. Ron Faoro

Re: AB1634

We are licensed veterinarians in the State of California, and many of us are also members of the California Veterinary Medical Association (CVMA). We OPPOSE AB1634 for several reasons, detailed below, but first and foremost, we feel this should be an issue resolved at a LOCAL level, not at the STATE level. We are only a small portion of the veterinarians in California who strongly OPPOSE this bill. The issues of concern are NOT statewide issues, and there is no reason to penalize this many people and animals for issues that are more limited in occurrence. We also object to the involvement of our state veterinary association, WITHOUT the input of members.

We oppose Bill AB1634 because:

- > We object to government intervention on this issue
- We were not consulted nor informed about this bill during its development
- ➤ The bill is poorly designed, cannot successfully be enforced and would be extremely costly to attempt to enforce
- > The bill does not address the true source of pet overpopulation
- > The bill penalizes responsible citizens
- > The bill has a significant negative impact of the California economy

Our concerns regarding AB1634, pending before the State Assembly, include but are not limited to:

- 1. **Veterinarian recommendation**: We strongly believe that owners should be allowed to choose, with their veterinarian's guidance, whether their dog or cat should neutered and the appropriate age to do the procedure. This decision should not be mandated by state legislation. There may be health benefits (such as cancer prevention) for dogs that remain intact and legislation that forces owners to neuter could open the door for litigation against the state.
- 2. **Permits for breeders**: This bill states that the only purebreds eligible for permit would be those working toward a title or those having acquired a title. This will significantly reduce the number of purebreds available in California and limit the gene pool, increasing the incidence of heritable diseases and disorders. Pet owners in California will ultimately be limited to selecting less healthy animals from puppy mills or those that have been smuggled into California. Mixed breed (non-AKC) breeds often make wonderful pets and assistance animals, and this bill would severely limit their availability.
- 3. **Recommended age for prepubertal gonadectomy**: Many veterinarians consider four months of age to be too young for this surgical procedure. Filing routinely for an "exemption" means more time and paperwork for everyone.
- 4. **Guide dog programs**: Specifics of the working dogs and Guide Dog Programs are not clearly defined. Would dogs require permits during the one year plus of age necessary to determine whether they are suitable candidates to replace breeding stock for their programs?

- 5.**Compliance/enforcement**: Veterinarians cannot be expected to assist in enforcing AB1634, or to report violations. This would be an infringement of doctor/client/patient confidentiality and an abuse of trust. In addition, determination of spay status of an adult female cat or dog is difficult, adding to difficulty of enforcement.
- 6. **Licensing**: Owners of an intact animal that does not have a permit may discontinue licensing their dogs to avoid being reported. Some people may allow their dogs to be impounded for non-compliance or abandon them because they cannot/will not pay to spay or neuter. This will add to the unwanted pet population.
- 7. **Pet stores**: This bill will increase business for puppy mills as pet stores increase sales to meet the decline in quality pets available from responsible breeders. Guidelines for pet store protocols concerning non-neutered puppies/kittens four months of age have not been addressed. Pet stores may elect to euthanize animals to avoid the expense of spay/neuter or permitting, thereby increasing the number of animals euthanized annually.
- 8. Effective animal population control programs exist: The number of pets received into county animal shelters and euthanized in CA each year has significantly declined over the past 20 years. San Diego County already has effective and successful programs that reduce pet overpopulation, including cooperation between the veterinary community and the San Diego County Department of Animal Control, and numerous volunteer programs. In fact, the programs are so effective, that potential pet owners often must bring in dogs from outside the area for adoptions. The San Diego program can be used as a model for other communities to follow.

Euthanasia rates in San Diego County are far below the State average

- 9. **Financial impact:** The pet industry brings significant money into communities. Bill AB1634 could have a significant negative financial impact on California, by eventually reducing the overall pet population, as well as in efforts to enforce this seriously flawed bill. Though will be a state-mandated law, there will be no state funds provided to enforce this legislation on a local level.
- 10 .We interpret this legislation to be an example of government tampering with personal rights and property; these are issues that should be addressed on a **community level**, **according to the specific needs of the community**. It is not appropriate that responsible breeders pay to correct the government's inability to control the feral cat population, educate the public, provide low-cost spay/neuter programs, and prosecute animal smugglers and fighting dog breeders.
- 11. **Public health impact**: Most puppies and kittens are vaccinated for Rabies at approximately 4 months of age. If clients are concerned that they will be reported to the authorities for non-compliance of the spay/neuter law, they may not comply with Rabies vaccination.
- 12. **Pet health impact**: If owners of non-permitted intact dogs or cats are concerned that they will be reported to authorities for non-compliance, they may not visit their veterinarian for regular health check-ups. This is not in the best interest of the pet-owning public nor the pets.

Address the true source of the animal overpopulation problem:

- 1. Educate the public about the causes and problems of the animal overpopulation problem.
- 2. Penalize irresponsible owners who allow their pets to stray and do not keep them safely confined.
- 3. More veterinarians can volunteer more time or be provided tax incentives to provide spay/neuters free, or at a discount, according to their communities' needs.
- 4. Encourage the development of win/win partnerships between animal rescue and professional organizations (such as we have in San Diego) to help resolve the problem.
- 5. Conduct well-designed studies to accurately determine the major sources of unwanted animals. There is no proof that they are coming from responsible breeders. Many of these animals originate from puppy mills, many in the San Diego area have been smuggled by the hundreds/thousands from puppy mills in Mexico. A large number of dogs in animal shelters are pit bulls and pit-bull mixes, fighting animals from illegal gambling operations, and many with behavioral disorders. Some studies indicate that 86% of owned cats are neutered in the US, indicating that a large part of the cat over-population problem stems from feral cats, not owned intact ones.

We the undersigned represent only a small portion of the veterinarians who oppose AB1634. As members of CVMA, we strongly resent the misrepresentation implied by this bill. Respectfully yours,

Patricia J. Ungar DVM, CVA Kensington Veterinary Hospital, past-President SDCVMA Calif lic 8755; CVMA member

Brenda Phillips, DVM, ACVIM (Oncology) 1733 Nautilus St La Jolla, CA 92037 Calif lic 12738; soon to be ex-CVMA member

Jean Spengel, D.V.M. La Jolla Veterinary Hospital Cal. License 6394 CVMA Member

Sharon Vanderlip, D.V.M., Director, ICSB-San Diego, CA. license 7846

Jack Vanderlip, D.V.M., Veterinary Consultant, CA License #2499, not a CVMA member. Victoria Jordan DVM, CVA Kensington Veterinary Hospital CA license 7062

Michelle Zoe Mason, DVM Kensington Veterinary Hospital (or Relief) California Veterinary Number 10326

Melissa Vollaire DVM Kensington Veterinary Hospital (or Relief) CA license 15581

Rosanne Brown, DVM Calif. lic #8869 Rancho San Diego Animal Hospital CVMA member

Ira Feinswog DVM
P_et Emergency & Specialty Center
CA License number 9386



Reid Shufer, D.V.M. Alta Rancho Pet & Bird Hospital California license 9036

Kevin F. Mallery, DVM, DACVIM California Veterinary Specialists Caifornia license number 14288

'I am writing this e-mail to you in support of the letter which has been written in opposition to AB1634. I couldn't agree with you more and feel that this bill is a typical governmental attempt to "do something", rather than actually deal appropriately with a problem. I am a veterinary surgeon, a CVMA member, and fully opposed to this bill. Please add my name to any lists of veterinarian's in opposition.'

Sincerely, Christian Osmond, DVM, DACVS California license number: 14514 CVMA member

Mark Handel DVM Palomar Animal Hospital CA Lic # 8238 Valerie Ewell CA license # 006733 La Jolla Veterinary Hospital

Monica Laflin, DVM Cardiff Animal Hospital, INC CA Lic # 7390

David A. Knox DVM, MS Companion Animal Clinic Calif lic 11741; CVMA member

Laurel Bowman, D.V.M La Jolla Veterinary Hospital California License 14188

Marilyn Seals, D.V.M. La Jolla Veterinary Hospital California License 9504

Ann D. Middleton, DVM (owner, Cheshire Cat Clinic) CA Lic # 10064 Current CVMA member since 1988

Keith Richter, DVM, Diplomate ACVIM
Hospital Director, Veterinary Specialty Hospital of San Diego
10435 Sorrento Valley Road, San Diego, CA 92121
V7607
CVMA Member: Yes

Sean W. Aiken, DVM, MS, DACVS Veterinary Specialty Hospital 10435 Sorrento Valley Road CA license 16062 CVMA member

A. J. Hardy, D.V.M. CA License #7443 Lifetime Animal Care Center 4941-G Clairemont Town Square CVMA Member Katharine Allen, DVM, MRCVS CA License 10420, Animal Medical Center, El Cajon

Trevor Garb
CVMA member and alternate delegate SDCVMA delegate.
Ca Lic number 14117
Pet Emergency & Specialty Center

Mona Boord, DVM, DAVCD Animal Dermatology Clinic of San Diego CA vet Lic. # 11495 American Veterinary Medical Association member # 0025114

Donna Valerie, DVM El Cerrito Veterinary Hospital 6911 University Ave. La Mesa, Ca. 91941 Vet license # 6176 CVMA # 4429

Dr Helen Hamilton DVM MS DACVIM California license 9767 > CVMA number 7548

Gary Brown, DVM, Dipl. ACVS

Cal lic. 4401

1618 Washington Blvd. Fremont , Cal

Geoffrey R Smith, D.V.M. Oceanside Veterinary Hospital CA license 5361 CVMA member

Dr. R. Michael Sevier, DVM Town and Country Animal Hospital, Bonita, Ca. license # 6353 SDCVMA member non-member CVMA

May 16, 2007

These are the additional signatures to date IN OPPOSITION TO AB1634. Please see the attached page for reference to the original letter, faxed to your office 5/12/07. Opposition to this bill continues to grow within the veterinary community. Respectfully,

Patricia Ungar DVM, CVA Brenda Phillips DVM, ACVIM Sharon Vanderlip DVM

**Additional signatures to follow

Gina Rochelle Brinton, DVM Relief Veterinary Services 8810 NOT a CVMA member!!!

CA lic 11251 CVMA member

Dana Bleifer DVM

Patricia Gilbert DVM, DACVD 11836 Handrich Drive San Diego CA 92131 CA lic. 8647

Debbie Wu DVM CA lic 15715

Craig Marvil DVM Kearny Mesa Veterinary Center 7677 Ronson Road CA lic 4539 CVMA member

Shalein Bonny DVM CA lic 16223

John R. Hetzler DVM Ark Animal Hospital 6171 Balboa Ave. San Diego, CA 92111 License #6828 CVMA Member, Yes

Andrew Klotz, DVM U.C. Davis, 1983 AKlotzDVM@gmail.com San Diego, CA

Kathy Kaleka, DVM Licensed Veterinary Independent Contractor CA license #11799

J. Musella, DVM Fellow, American Association of Feline Practitioners CA Lic # 14703 CVMA member since 2000